Departmental Quarterly Monitoring Report

Directorate: ADULT & COMMUNITY

Department: COMMUNITY SERVICES

Period: 1st April to 30th June 2010

1.0 Introduction

This monitoring report covers the Community Services first quarter period up to period end 30th June 2010. It describes key developments and progress against all objectives and performance indicators for the service.

The way in which traffic light symbols have been used to reflect progress is explained within Appendix 8

2.0 Key Developments

The HDL at Ditton has been successfully re-located into the library. Business has increased since the move.

A series of 'Respect' weeks are being held to highlight issues around community safety and anti-social behaviour.

Sport England's Active People Survey showed that Adult Participation in (Sport 3 x 30 minutes) is the second highest in the country.

There has been a recent inspection by the General Register Officer of the Registration Service in Halton. The report, which will go to the Safer Halton PPB shows the service to be very good.

3.0 Emerging Issues

Early talks have been held with the Police to consider a joint approach to some services via HDL. A report will be going to senior management team detailing options to be considered.

Negotiations are advanced to replace the library management/circulation system. An innovative approach is being taken that should improve service and increase efficiencies.

The micro-brewery and the hair dresses shop, as part of Adult Day – care development should be operative by the autumn.

4.0 Service Objectives / milestones

4.1 Progress against objectives / milestones

There are no objectives / milestones to be reported for the service.

5.0 Performance indicators

5.1 Progress Against 'key' performance indicators

Total 1 ? - ? -

There is presently no information available concerning the perceptions of anti social behaviour as this information has previously been derived from the national places survey derived from an annual survey, which as of this year this survey is no longer required. Consideration is being given to conducting it on a local basis and an update will be given later in the year.

5.2 Progress Against 'other' performance indicators

A number of 'other' indicators for the service have also previously been derived

from the national place survey as described above.

Of those measures that can be reported this quarter there is some concern regarding adult Re-offending rates for those under probation supervision and additional details are provided within Appendix 1.

6.0 Risk Control Measures

During the development of the 2010 -11 service activity, the service was required to undertake a risk assessment of all Key Service Objectives.

No 'high' risk, treatment measures were identified.

7.0 Progress against high priority equality actions

As a result of undertaking a departmental Equality Impact Assessment no high priority actions were identified for the service for the period 2010 – 2011

8.0 Data quality statement

The author provides assurance that the information contained within this report is accurate and valid and that every effort has been made to avoid the omission of data. Where data has been estimated, sourced externally, or where there are any concerns regarding the limitations of its use this has been clearly annotated.

9.0 Appendices

Appendix 1 Progress against 'other' performance indicators

Appendix 2 Financial Statement

Appendix 3 Explanation of use of symbols

Appendix 1: Progress Against 'key' performance indicators

Ref	Description	Actual 2009/10	Target 2010/11	Quarter 1	Current Progress	Direction of Travel	Supporting Commentary
-----	-------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----------	---------------------	---------------------	-----------------------

Area Partner National Indicators:

The indicators below form part of the new National Indicator Set introduced on 1st April 2008. Responsibility for setting the target, and reporting performance data will sit with one or more local partners.

NI 18	Adult re-offending rates for those under probation supervision	Qtr 4 09/10 Data- Not yet available 8.57% at Qtr 3 09/10	6.77% by end Qtr 4 10/11	Qtr 1 10/11 data-not available till November 2010	?	1	There is a built-in 6 month time lag in the publication and availability of NI 18 data from the Ministry of Justice. Qtr 4 09/10 out-turn data will not be available till later this month after 17/8/10. The Qtr 3 09/10 result of 8.57% actual re-offending is a marginal increase on the 8.5% at Qtr 2 09/10 and relates to 6 more re-offenders than predicted. Small numbers of increased re-offenders can influence the results substantially.
-------	--	---	-----------------------------------	--	---	---	---

Appendix 2 Financial Statement

COMMUNITY DEPARTMENT

Revenue Budget as at 30th June 2010

	Annual Budget	Budget To Date	Actual To Date	Variance To Date	Actual Including
	Buugei	Dale	Dale	(Overspend)	Committed
	01000	01000	01000	01000	Items
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Expenditure					
Employees	8,325	2,069	2,077	(8)	2,114
Premises Support	353	72	71	1	71
Other Premises	888	394	361	33	792
Book fund	272	45	34	11	34
Hired & Contracted	589	171	178	(7)	254
Promotions	290	72	83	(11)	118
Supplies & Services	650	146	155	(9)	242
Transport	95	24	20	4	21
Central Support	42	42	45	(3)	45
Services					
Leisure Mgt.Contract	1,843	307	303	4	1,353
Grants	623	306	301	5	301
Other Agency	81	4	4	0	8
Asset Charges	2,134	0	0	0	0
Total Expenditure	16,185	3,652	3,632	20	5,353
Income					
Sales	-224	-52	-75	23	-75
Fees & Charges	-1,609	-374	-416	42	-416
Rents	-14	-6	-9	3	-9
Support Services Recharges	-613	0	0	0	0
Grant funding	-230	-204	-174	(30)	-174
Reimbursements	-890	-178	-155	(23)	-155
Total Income	-3,580	-814	-829	15	-829
Net Expenditure	12,605	2,838	2,803	35	

Comments on the above figures:

Gross expenditure is £20,000 below budget profile for the first quarter of the financial year. This is primarily a result of spend to date on utility costs being below profile. Whilst budgets are profiled to take account of seasonal factors, the total budget for this area is £476,000, and some variations are inevitable. It is not assumed at this stage in the financial year that this underspend will remain at the year-end.

Appendix 2 Financial Statement

Income is showing an over-achievement against budget profile to date o £15,000. Sales and Fees and Charges income are currently overachieved by £65,000, this relates principally to The Brindley Arts Centre. However, this additional income is partially offset by increased expenditure, particularly in the Employees, Hired and Contracted and Promotions budget headings. The income below target for Grants and Reimbursements is not expected to be under-achieved at the year-end.

At this stage, net Divisional expenditure is anticipated to be to budget at the year-end.

Capital Projects as at 30th June 2010

	2010/11	Allocation	Actual	Allocation
	Capital	To Date	Spend	Remaining
	Allocation		To Date	£'000
	£'000	£'000	£'000	
	100	25	0	100
Skate Park				
	2	0	0	2
Churchill Hall				
	50	0	0	50
Access & Security Measures				
Norton Priory Health & Safety	22	0	0	22
Increased Employment	10	0	0	10
Opportunities				
Total Spending	184	25	0	184

Appendix 2 Financial Statement

Local Strategic Partnership Funded Schemes as at 30th June 2010

Priority 1: Healthy Halton	Annual Revised Budget £'000	Budget To Date £'000	Actual To Date £'000	Variance To Date (overspend) £'000	Actual Including Committed Items £'000
Sports Partnership	66	16	14	2	14
Health & Physical Activity	43	11	-4	15	-4
Alcohol Harm Reduction	430	108	50	58	50
Enhanced Sports	78	20	1	19	1
Sub Total	617	155	61	94	61
Priority 4: Employment Learning & Skills Budgeting Skills Project Citizen's Advice Bureau Sub Total	33 86 119	8 22 30	0 -30 -30	8 52 60	0 -30 -30
Priority 5: Safer Halton					
Youth Splash	114	28	14	14	14
Blue Lamp	518	129	0	129	0
Domestic Violence	95	24	-9	33	-9
Prolific & Persistent Offenders	46	12	0	12	0
Sub Total	773	193	5	188	5
Overall Total	1,509	378	36	342	36

Comments on the above figures:

Regular monitoring reports are sent to the Local Strategic Partnership (LSP)

in respect of all LSP projects and any areas of concern are dealt with throughout the year by the LSP support team and individual project managers. Some variances against the budget to date are expected, as the LSP have deliberately over-programmed in order to ensure that the full allocation of Working Neighbourhood Fund grant is spent during the year.

Symbols are used in the following manner:						
Progress	Objective	Performance Indicator				
Green	Indicates that the objective is on course to be achieved within the appropriate timeframe.	Indicates that the annual target <u>is</u> on course to be achieved.				
Amber ?	Indicates that it is uncertain or too early to say at this stage, whether the milestone/objective will be achieved within the appropriate timeframe.	Indicates that it is <u>uncertain or too</u> <u>early to say at this stage</u> whether the annual target is on course to be achieved.				
Red	Indicates that it is highly likely or certain that the objective will not be achieved within the appropriate timeframe.	Indicates that the target <u>will not</u> <u>be achieved</u> unless there is an intervention or remedial action taken.				
Direction of Trav	vel Indicator					
Where possible <u>performance measures</u> will also identify a direction of travel using the following convention						
Green	Indicates that performance is better as compared to the same period last year.					
Amber	Indicates that performance is the same as compared to the same period last year.					
Red	Indicates that performance is worse as compared to the same period last year.					
N/A	Indicates that the measure period last year.	cannot be compared to the same				